Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview

1. Date of Submission: 2011-01-19

2. Agency: 011

3. Bureau: 10

4. Name of this Investment: FBI Law Enforcement National Data Exchange Program (NDEx)

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI): 011-10-01-03-01-3024-00

- 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?: Mixed Life Cycle
 - Planning
 - Full Acquisition
 - Operations and Maintenance
 - Mixed Life Cycle
 - Multi-Agency Collaboration
- 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2009

8.

a. Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.

N-DEX provides the solution for national information sharing. N-DEX offers services and capabilities through secure collection and processing of criminal justice data for legally authorized law enforcement, criminal justice, counterterrorism, public safety, homeland security, and/or national security pruposes. The N-DEX system is the result of collaboration among local, county, state, tribal and federal law enforcement communities to establish a secure, national, criminal justice information sharing capability at the sensitive but unclassified level. Increment 3, completed in the fall of 2010, will provide "Google-like" search capability with the same refined results and much less effort by the user, continue advancement of integration of N-DEX and OneDOJ, and add probation and parole data and an expansion of web services. Together N-DEX and OneDOJ provide a national scaling system that leverages the advantages of a data repository and has the capability and characteristics of a federated system. The expected law enforcement value is in the application of N-DEX capabilities for providing missing information links and creating partnerships that lead to more effective investigations as depicted in the following success story: Summer 2010-Detectives in Brooklyn, New York responded to a 911 call of a male shot. Further investigative steps determined the motive of the shooting was a dispute over a DVD the perpetrator purchased earlier in the day from the victim. The perpetrator fled on foot through backyards and was apprehended shortly thereafter. In identifying the victim, the Detectives discovered through NCIC that a possible warrant existed for the victim for "Larceny from a Building" with full extradition in Virginia. The Detectives attempted to confirm if the victim was the subject of the warrant. A search for a photograph of the victim in City, State, and Correctional databases revealed that no photograph was on file to confirm the shooting victim was the subject wanted in Virginia. A search of the N-DEX revealed 2 photographs for the victim from previous arrests in Virginia. After reviewing the N-DEXx information, the Detectives were able to identify the victim as the subject wanted in Virginia and he was subsequently taken into custody.

b. Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the investment including links to GAO and IG reports.

Title	Link

NONE

9.

- a. Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment. 2010-06-04
- b. Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter. 2007-06-26
- 10. Contact information?
 - a. Program/Project Manager Name: *

Phone Number: *

Email:

b. Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner): Robert C. Rudge, Jr. Phone Number: *

Email: *

- 11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA): Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
 - Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
 - Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
 - Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
 - Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
 - No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding
(In millions of dollars)
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

		(LStillia	ites for BT+1 and beyo	nd are for planning pu	iposes only and do no	represent budget dec	isions)		
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (not including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

		(Estima	ates for BY+1 and beyo	(In millions	mary of Funding of dollars) rposes only and do no	t represent budget dec	isions)		
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Costs:									

- 2. Insert the number of years covered in the column "PY-1 and earlier": 8
- 3. Insert the number of years covered in the column "BY+4 and beyond": *
- 4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

	Table I.C.1 Contracts Table													
Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternativ e financing	EVM Require d	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Ta sk Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Perform ance Based Service Acquisit ion (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Ta sk Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition	
Awarded	1549	<u>DJFA8D802335</u>			*	*	\$95.0	Fixed Price Incentive	Y	2008-02-28	2011-06-30	Y	Development of the CJIS N-DEx system.	
Awarded	1549	GovtAgency(Interage ncAgreement)			*	*	\$1.8	Firm Fixed Price	N	2011-03-30	2012-04-15	Full and Open Competition	Support Services IACP	
Awarded	1549	DJFA0G004085	GS35F0143L		*	*	\$0.7	Firm Fixed Price	N	2010-03-22	2011-03-31	Y	ndex autonomy support services	
Awarded	1549	DJFA1G103666	GS10F0187T		*	*	\$0.9	Time and Materials	N	2011-01-07	2012-01-09	Υ	Maintenance services for N-DEX IEPD	
Awarded	1549	DJFA9G0805110	GS35F0287T		*	*	\$1.2	Time and Materials	Y	2009-06-20	2010-09-30	Υ	0200-tor#50 cjis ncic support	
Awarded	1549	DJFA0G0805120	GS00F0003W		*	*	\$9.7	Time and Materials	Y	2010-03-16	2011-03-31	Υ	0200-PMSS tor# 84 ndex	

Page 5 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

					Table I.	C.1 Contra	cts Table						
Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternativ e financing	EVM Require d	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Ta sk Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Perform ance Based Service Acquisit ion (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Ta sk Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded	1549	DJFM7D701300	W91QUZ04D000 4		*	*	\$194.0	Cost Plus Incentive	N	2006-11-22	2011-09-30	Y	0200-hardwa re/maintenan ce
Awarded	1549	<u>DJFA6D605600</u>	V797049A3GP07 0		*	*	\$536.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2005-10-19	2006-09-30	N	CLIN AA02 O&M MANAGEME NT SERV
Awarded	1549	DJFA0G0823002	GS23F8167H		*	*	\$0.7	Time and Materials	N	2010-06-25	2011-06-25	Y	data analysis services-NPI TSS
Awarded	1549	<u>DJFA0G002329</u>	GS35F0143L		*	*	\$0.3	Firm Fixed Price	N	2009-12-22	2011-01-01	Y	ndex autonomy support services

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3.

- a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow *
- b. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 *
- c. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements *
- d.If "yes," enter the date of approval? *
- e.ls the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? *
- f. Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? *

 $g.\mbox{If}$ an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.

Page 7 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Part II: IT Capital Investments

Section A: General

- 1.
- a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. yes
- b.If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education.
- 2. Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. DOJ will include cloud computing (CC) capabilities in its infrastructure when they are mature enough to support DOJ missions and security requirements. Its CC strategy will define a service approach for implementing CC capabilities across DOJ.
- 3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan 2010-06-15
- 4.
- a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.
- b. If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).
- 5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment. 2005-01-21
- 6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. 2010-08-05

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	Completed and Ac	tual Costs to Cur	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
N-DEx Increment 3 ECP 013	DME	*	\$1.4	\$1.4	2010-03-01	2010-03-01	2011-01-14		100.00%	97.00%
N-DEx Contract Implementation Review (FY 07)	DME	*	\$3.2	\$2.4	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2007-05-02	2007-05-02	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Fee for Contract Award (FY 07)	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.2	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2007-03-22	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Product Test Readiness Review 2 Documentation and Workoff (FY 08)	DME	*	\$0.4	\$0.4	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-02-19	2009-01-15	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Site Acceptance Review (FY 08)	DME	*	\$1.4	\$1.4	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-02-09	2009-01-07	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Mid-Option Year QASP Evaluation-Holdb ack Settlement (FY 08)	DME	*	\$0.8	\$0.9	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-01-08	2009-02-03	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Requirements Clarification Review (FY 08)	DME	*	\$9.2	\$9.2	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2008-05-29	2008-05-02	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 O&M Build 2.5	DME	*	\$0.9	\$0.9	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-06-25	2010-07-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 Drop 4 -Complete/Iteratio	DME	*	\$1.3	\$1.3	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2011-01-17	2011-03-17	100.00%	100.00%

Page 9 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	Completed and Ac	tual Costs to Cur	rent Approved Bas	seline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
n 1-Complete										
N-DEx Increment 3 Option Year 2 Award	DME	*	\$0.3	\$0.3	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2009-10-30	2009-11-23	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 DOJ Trusted Broker Functional Design Review	DME	*	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-05-29	2009-05-29	2009-08-11	2009-08-11	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 Final Term Option Year 2 QASP Evaluation (Aug 1, 2010-Jan 14,2011) Holdback Settlement	DME	*	\$0.8	\$0.7	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2011-05-01		90.00%	90.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 Iteration 2-Operational Acceptance Review Workoff	DME	*	\$0.5	\$0.5	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2011-02-11	2011-03-16	100.00%	100.00%
R-DEx FY 2008 O&M (FY 08)	SS	*	\$13.0	\$6.8	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Engineering Change Proposal 2 (FY 07)	DME	*	\$1.8	\$1.8	2008-04-04	2008-04-04	2008-05-13	2008-05-14	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Engineering Change Proposal 1 (FY 07)	DME	*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2007-09-11	2007-09-11	2007-09-11	2007-09-11	100.00%	100.00%
R-DEx Concept Exploration (FY 02)	DME	*	\$0.4	\$0.1	2001-10-01	2001-10-01	2002-09-30	2002-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Non-Operational Environment (FY	DME	*	\$0.3	\$0.3	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2008-05-04	2008-05-05	100.00%	100.00%

Page 10 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:												
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete		
08)												
N-DEx Increment 2 Requirements Traceability Matrix (FY 08)	DME	*	\$1.0	\$1.0	2008-02-15	2008-02-15	2008-02-15	2008-02-15	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment 3 ECP 010	DME	*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2009-10-30	2009-10-30	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment 3 ECP 007	DME	*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2009-10-30	2009-10-30	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment 3 ECP 006 Autonomy Software	DME	*	\$2.4	\$2.4	2009-05-29	2009-05-29	2009-07-20	2009-07-20	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment 3 ECP 5 Award	DME	*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-05-29	2009-05-29	2009-07-10	2009-07-10	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment 3 Iteration 2-System Test Readiness Review Workoff	DME	*	\$0.6	\$0.6	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2011-01-07	2011-01-14	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Product Test Readiness Review and Workoff (FY 07)	DME	*	\$2.4	\$2.4	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2007-09-17	2007-09-17	100.00%	100.00%		
R-DEx O&M (FY 06)	DME	*	\$2.3	\$2.3	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Concept Exploration (FY 06)	DME	*	\$6.7	\$3.6	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx/R-DEx FY 2004 FTE Cost (FY 04)	DME	*	\$3.4	\$3.4	2003-10-01	2003-10-01	2004-09-30	2004-09-30	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment 2 O&M Point Release (FY 08)	DME	*	\$1.4	\$1.4	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-02-03	2008-12-10	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment	DME	*	\$1.4	\$1.7	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2008-10-17	2008-11-24	100.00%	100.00%		

Page 11 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	Completed and Ac	tual Costs to Cur	rent Approved Bas	seline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
2 Final Design Review and Workoff (FY 08)										
N-DEx Increment 3 Drop 2-Complete	DME	*	\$2.6	\$2.6	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-02-22	2010-02-22	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 Drop 1-Complete	DME	*	\$2.2	\$2.2	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2009-12-17	2009-12-17	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 DOJ Trusted Broker Functional Acceptance Review	DME	*	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-05-29	2009-05-29	2009-11-07	2009-11-07	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 ECP 6 Award	DME	*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-05-29	2009-05-29	2009-07-10	2009-07-10	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Final Option Year QASP Evaluation-Holdb ack Settlement (FY 08)	DME	*	\$1.0	\$1.0	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-09-02	2009-07-08	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx O&M 2015	SS	*	*	*	2014-10-01	*	2015-09-29	*	*	*
N-DEx Increment 3 Iteration 2-Operational Readiness Review Workoff	DME	*	\$0.5	\$0.5	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2011-02-10	2011-03-16	100.00%	100.00%
R-DEx Follow On (Government and Contractor) (FY 08)	DME	*	\$0.7	\$0.7	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 1 System Test Readiness Review and Workoff (FY 07)	DME	*	\$1.2	\$1.2	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2007-10-31	2007-10-31	100.00%	100.00%

Page 12 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	on of Actual Work C	Completed and Ac	tual Costs to Cur	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
N-DEx FY 2008 FTE (FY 08)	DME	*	\$4.4	\$4.4	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 Iteration 2-Site Acceptance Review and Workoff	DME	*	\$0.6	\$0.6	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2011-01-05	2011-01-27	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 O&M Build 2.3	DME	*	\$2.6	\$2.6	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-03-23	2010-03-23	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 1st Term Option Year 2 QASP Evaluation-Holdb ack Settlement	DME	*	\$0.7	\$0.7	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-12-15	2010-06-16	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 1st Material Order	DME	*	\$3.5	\$3.5	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2009-11-11	2009-11-11	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx PMO of Increment 2	DME	*	\$1.5	\$1.5	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-09-29	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Operational Acceptance Review and Workoff Settlement (FY 08)	DME	*	\$2.3	\$2.3	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-09-02	2009-07-08	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx O&M 2010	SS	*	\$30.2	\$20.7	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-29		100.00%	67.00%
N-DEx O&M 2009	SS	*	\$20.2	\$20.4	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-29	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 O&M Build 3.1	DME	*	\$0.6	\$0.6	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2011-03-15	2011-04-21	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 1 Operational Acceptance Review and	DME	*	\$2.0	\$2.0	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2008-02-27	2008-03-19	100.00%	100.00%

Page 13 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	completed and Ac	tual Costs to Cur	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Workoff (FY 07)										
N-DEx Increment 1 Operational Readiness Review and Workoff (FY 07)	DME	*	\$0.9	\$0.9	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2007-12-04	2007-12-04	100.00%	100.00%
R-DEx O&M (FY 07)	SS	*	\$1.2	\$1.2	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-29	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
R-DEx Follow On (Government and Contractor) (FY 07)	DME	*	\$4.7	\$3.9	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 1 (FY 2006) FTE Cost Paid by Program Funds while under DOJ (FY 06)	DME	*	\$1.8	\$1.8	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
R-DEx Development (FY 06)	DME	*	\$26.0	\$1.9	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
R-DEx Concept Exploration (FY 03)	DME	*	\$5.0	\$5.7	2002-10-01	2002-10-01	2003-10-15	2003-10-15	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Product Test Readiness Review 1 Documentation and Workoff (FY 08)	DME	*	\$1.2	\$1.2	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-01-15	2008-12-19	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Design Concept Review and Workoff (FY 08)	DME	*	\$2.5	\$2.5	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2008-07-14	2008-06-16	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 Drop 3- 50% Complete	DME	*	\$1.1	\$1.1	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-12-21	2010-12-21	100.00%	100.00%

Page 14 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work (Completed and Act	tual Costs to Curi	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
N-DEx Increment 3 Internal Baseline Review Workoff	DME	*	\$0.6	\$0.6	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2009-11-04	2009-11-04	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx O&M 2014	SS	*	*	*	2013-10-01	*	2014-09-29	*	*	*
N-DEx O&M 2013	SS	*	*	*	2012-10-01	*	2013-09-29	*	*	*
N-DEx Program Support Tasks (FY 07)	DME	*	\$9.3	\$10.1	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Site Acceptance Review (FY 07)	DME	*	\$1.5	\$1.5	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2007-09-11	2007-09-11	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Concept Exploration (FY 03)	DME	*	\$5.5	\$3.5	2002-10-01	2002-10-01	2003-09-30	2003-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 ECP 4 Blade Analysis Study (FY 08)	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.3	2008-06-02	2008-06-02	2008-11-19	2008-10-27	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 O&M Point Release (FY 08)	DME	*	\$0.9	\$0.9	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2008-09-03	2008-08-05	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 Drop 5-Complete	DME	*	\$1.2	\$1.2	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2011-02-11	2011-04-21	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 ECP 002 Correction	DME	*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2009-10-30	2009-10-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 ECP 009	DME	*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2009-10-30	2009-10-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 ECP 008	DME	*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2009-10-30	2009-10-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Operational	DME	*	\$1.8	\$1.8	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-06-11	2009-06-23	100.00%	100.00%

Page 15 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

	Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:											
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete		
Readiness Review and Workoff (FY 08)												
N-DEx FY 2010 Program Support Tasks	DME	*	\$0.8	\$0.8	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment 3 Option Year 2/Increment 3 Complete	DME	*	\$1.2	\$1.2	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2011-04-22		100.00%	99.00%		
N-DEx Increment 1 (FY 2007) FTE Cost *Paid by Program Funds while under DOJ	DME	*	\$2.1	\$2.1	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx/R-DEx Concept Exploration (FY 05)	DME	*	\$24.7	\$7.9	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx/R-DEx FY 2003 FTE Cost (FY 03)	DME	*	\$0.6	\$0.6	2002-10-01	2002-10-01	2003-09-30	2003-09-30	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment 2 System Test Readiness Review Workoff (FY 08)	DME	٠	\$2.1	\$2.1	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-05-06	2009-04-08	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment 2 Product Test Readiness Review 3 Documentation and Workoff (FY 08)	DME	*	\$0.4	\$0.4	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2009-03-19	2009-04-06	100.00%	100.00%		
N-DEx Increment 2 Internal Baseline Review (FY 08)	DME	*	\$1.5	\$1.4	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2008-06-25	2008-06-18	100.00%	100.00%		

Page 16 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	Completed and Act	tual Costs to Curr	ent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
N-DEx Option Year 1 Award (FY 08)	DME	*	\$0.4	\$0.4	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2008-03-17	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 2nd Term Option Year 2 QASP Evaluation (Feb 1-Jul 31, 2010) Holdback Settlement	DME	*	\$0.6	\$0.6	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-12-15	2011-04-25	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 O&M Build 2.4	DME	*	\$1.1	\$1.1	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-05-12	2010-05-12	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 2nd Material Order	DME	*	\$1.8	\$1.8	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-01-27	2010-01-27	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 ECP 012	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.2	2009-12-01	2009-12-01	2010-03-01	2010-03-12	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx O&M 2012	SS	*	\$41.6	\$0.0	2011-10-01		2012-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
N-DEx O&M 2011	SS	*	\$29.0	\$2.3	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-29		75.00%	8.00%
N-DEx Holdback Settlement (FY 07)	DME	*	\$1.4	\$1.4	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2008-09-30	2008-04-04	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Post-CDR Hardware Procurement (FY 07)	DME	*	\$9.6	\$9.6	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2007-09-30	2007-08-13	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 1 Final Design Review and Workoff (FY 07)	DME	*	\$2.3	\$2.3	2007-02-28	2007-02-28	2007-05-30	2007-05-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx/R-DEx FY 2005 FTE Cost (FY 05)	DME	*	\$3.6	\$3.6	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx/R-DEx	DME	*	\$9.7	\$15.7	2003-10-01	2003-10-01	2004-09-30	2004-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

Page 17 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	Completed and Ac	tual Costs to Cur	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Concept Exploration (FY 04)										
N-DEx Increment 2 ECP 3 Record View Automated Process (FY 08)	DME	*	\$1.9	\$1.4	2008-06-03	2008-06-03	2008-10-21	2008-08-13	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Program Support Tasks (FY 08)	DME	*	\$8.1	\$4.8	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 2 Critical Design Review and Workoff (FY 08)	DME	*	\$7.5	\$7.8	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	2008-09-18	2008-09-25	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 Submission of Iteration 2-RCR/DCR/PDR /CDR Workoff	DME	*	\$1.5	\$1.5	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-08-20	2010-10-15	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 3rd Material Order	DME	*	\$2.1	\$2.1	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-04-06	2010-07-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 O&M Build 2.2	DME	*	\$1.4	\$1.4	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-01-13	2010-01-13	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 O&M Build 2.1	DME	*	\$0.6	\$0.6	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2009-11-04	2009-11-04	100.00%	100.00%
N-DEx Increment 3 DOJ Trusted Broker O&M Point Release	DME	*	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-05-29	2009-05-29	2009-11-17	2009-11-17	100.00%	100.00%
N-Dex Increment 3 Complete Rolling Wave Iteration 2	DME	*	\$0.5	\$0.5	2010-04-05	2010-04-05	2010-08-31	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
N-Dex Increment 3 ECP 14	DME	*	\$1.0	\$1.0	2010-04-27	2010-04-27	2010-12-30	2010-12-30	100.00%	100.00%

Page 18 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

complete

	Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:											
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete		
N-Dex O&M 2016	SS	*	*	*	2015-10-01	*	2016-09-30	*	*	*		
N-DEx ECP 15	DME	*	\$14.3	\$0.0	2011-02-01	2011-03-24	2011-12-31		25.00%	0.00%		
N-DEx Increment 3 Drop 3-100%	DME	*	\$1.1	\$1.1	2009-07-01	2009-07-27	2010-12-21	2011-03-17	100.00%	100.00%		

- 2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion.
- 3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis.
- 4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation?

Page 19 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Section C: Financial Management Systems

	Table II.C.1: Financial	Management Systems	
System(s) Name	System acronym	Type of Financial System	BY Funding

Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only) Table II.D.1. Customer Table: **Customer Agency** Joint exhibit approval date NONE **Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers Shared Service Asset Title** Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011) **Shared Service Provider (Agency)** Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions): Partner Partner exhibit 53 UPI **BY Monetary** Agency (BY 2012) Fee-for-Service Fee-for-Service NONE Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced Name of the Legacy Date of the System **Current UPI**

Page 21 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Section E: Performance Information

			Table I.E.1a. Performa	nce Metric Attributes				
Measurement Area (For IT Assets)	Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets)	Measurement Indicator	Reporting Frequency	Unit of Measure	Performance Measure Direction	Baseline	Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date)	
Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx Increment 2.	annual	Number	Up	Baseline of 13 stat es/a genc ies participating at end of FY08.	2008-10-01	
				Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Increase by 14 new agencies	N-DEx has 15 systems participating representing over 650 individual agencies.	Met	2011-02-23	
Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx.	annual	Number	Up	Anticipate 47 stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx.	2009-10-01	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated	
			2012	Increase by 10 new stat es/a genc ies.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23	
Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx.	annual	Number	Up	Anticipate 57 stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx.	2009-10-01	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated	
			2013	Increase by 5 new stat e/ag enci es.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23	
Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx.	annual	Number	Up	Anticipate 62 stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx.	2009-10-01	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated	

Page 22 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

			2014	Increase by 5 new stat	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
				e/ag enci es.			
Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx.	annual	Number	Maintain	Anticipate 67 stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx.	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	Maintain stat e/ag enci es part icip atio n.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Criminal Apprehension	Number of stat es/a genc ies that have signed MOU to contribute information and participate in the operational N-DEx.	annual	Number	Up	Baseline of 21 stat es/a genc ies that have signed MOUs.	2008-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Increase by 16 MOUs.	N-DEx has 33 stat es/a genc ies that have signed MOUs as of 9/30/09.	Met	2011-02-23
Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of states/a gencies participating in N-DEx.	annual	Number	Up	Baseline 15 stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx Increment 2.	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Increase by 10 new states/agencies.	Target is 25; N-DEx has 24 states/agen ies participating representing over 3,200 individual agencies.		2011-02-23
Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of states/agenc ies participating in N-DEx Increment 1.	annual	Number	Up	Baseline of 7 stat e/ag enci es participating in N-DEx Increment 1.	2007-10-01

Page 23 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	Increase by 10 new stat e/ag enci es.	N-DEx has 13 stat e/ag enci es participating in N-DEx as of 9/30/08.	Met	2011-02-23
Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of states/agencies participating in N-DEx.	annual	Number	Up	Anticipate 37 stat es/a genc ies participating in N-DEx.	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	Increase by 10 new stat es/a genc ies.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Criminal Apprehension	Number of states/agencies that have signed MOU to contribute information and participate in the operational N-DEx.	annual	Number	Up	Baseline of 7 stat e/ag enci es that have signed MOUs.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	Increase by 5 MOUs.	N-DEx has 21 stat e/ag enci es that have signed MOUs as of 9/30/08.	Met	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Criminal Apprehension	Number of total N-DEx system searches per fiscal year.	annual	Number	Up	Anticipate 500,000 N-DEx system searches at the end of fiscal year	2010-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	Target is 500,000 total fiscal year N-DEx system searches.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Criminal Apprehension	Number of total N-DEx system searches per fiscal year.	annual	Number	Up	Anticipate 750,000 N-DEx system searches at the end of fiscal year	2010-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated

Page 24 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

			2012	Target is 750,000 total fiscal year N-DEx system searches.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Criminal Apprehension	Number of total N-DEx system searches per fiscal year.	annual	Number	Up	Anti cipa te1, 000, 000 N-DEx system searches at the end of fiscal year	2010-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	Target is 1,000,000 total fiscal year N-DEx system searches.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Criminal Apprehension	Number of total N-DEx system searches per fiscal year.	annual	Number	Up	Anticipate 1,250,000 N-DEx system searches at the end of fiscal year	2010-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	Target is 1,250,000 total fiscal year N-DEx system searches.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Criminal Apprehension	Number of total N-DEx system searches per fiscal year.	annual	Number	Up	Anticipate 1,500,000 N-DEx system searches at the end of fiscal year	2010-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	Target is 1,500,000 total fiscal year N-DEx system searches.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Mission and Business Results	Criminal Apprehension	Number of total N-DEx system searches per fiscal year.	annual	Percentage	Up	Baseline will be established at the end of fiscal year 2010.	2010-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Initial Target is 13,900 total fiscal year N-DEx	N-Dex recorded 318,069 system searches for FY	Met	2011-02-23

Page 25 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

				system searches.	2010.		
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of LE customers rate the benefit and satisfaction of the information sharing services	annual	Percentage	Up	Establish the initial N-DEx role based LE satisfaction survey process	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	Initial Target for N-DEx is 55% of customers rating adequate or higher.	The customer survey results indicate that 94% of the respondents would recommend the N-DEx system to others.		2011-02-23
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of LE customers rate the benefit and satisfaction of the information sharing services.	annual	Percentage	Up	Anticipate N-DEx 60% of customers rating adequate or higher.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Increase by 5%.	The Target was 65%. N-Dex reported 71.9% of customers surveyed rated the benefits and satisfaction of the N-Dex services at adequate or higher.		2011-02-23
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of LE customers rate the benefit and satisfaction of the information sharing services.	annual	Percentage	Up	Anticipate N-DEx 65% of customers rating adequate or higher	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	Increase by 5%.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of LE	annual	Percentage	Up	Anticipate N-DEx 70% of	2007-10-01

Page 26 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		customers rate the benefit and satisfaction of the information sharing services.				customers rating adequate or higher.	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	Increase by 5%.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of LE customers rate the benefit and satisfaction of the information sharing services.	annual	Percentage	Up	Anticipate N-DEx 75% of customers rating adequate or higher.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	Increase by 5%.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of LE customers rate the benefit and satisfaction of the information sharing services.	annual	Percentage	Up	Anticipate N-DEx 80% of customers rating adequate or higher.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	Increase by 5%.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of LE customers rate the benefit and satisfaction of the information sharing services.	annual	Percentage	Up	Anticipate N-DEx 85% of customers rating adequate or higher.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	Increase by 5%.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23

Page 27 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of LE customers rate the benefit and satisfaction of the information sharing services.	annual	Percentage	Up	Anticipate N-DEx 55% of customers rating adequate or higher.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Increase by 5%	The customer survey results indicate an average score of 76% or user satisfaction with system performance.	Met	2011-02-23
Technology	Availability	Percentage of time the N-DEx System is available to the nations law enforcement to enhance their ability to execute their mission.	annual	Percentage	Maintain	Improve to providing the technology service 99.5% availability.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	Maintain performance standard.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
Technology	Availability	Percentage of time the N-DEx System is available to the nations LE to enhance their ability to execute their mission.	annual	Percentage	Maintain	Maintain providing the technology service 99.5% availability.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	Maintain performance standard.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
			2013	Maintain performance standard.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23
			2014	Maintain performance standard.	TBD	Not Due	2011-02-23

Page 28 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

				standard.			
Technology	Availability	Percentage of time the system is available to LE users.	annual	Percentage	Up	Maintain operational availability and reliability at 90% or better.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Increase by 5%.	99.57% availability between supported hours of 8:00 am - 8:00 pm ET, M-F through 7/19/09 and 24/7 from 7/20/09 through 9/30/09.	Met	2011-02-23
Technology	Availability	Percentage of time the systems are available to the LE users.	annual	Percentage		Improve to providing the technology service 95% availability.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Increase by 4.5%	Target is 95%. N-Dex reported 99.96% availability for FY 2010.	Met	2011-02-23
Technology	Availability	Percentage of time the systems are available to the LE users.	annual	Percentage	Up	Anticipate N-DEx initial baseline of providing 90% operational availability and reliability.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	Maintain operational availability and reliability at 90%.	99.75% availability between supported hours of 8:00 am - 8:00 pm ET, M-F, as of 9/30/08.	Met	2011-02-23

Page 29 / 29 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

^{* -} Indicates data is redacted.